Executive Minutes Joint GAFSP Steering Committee/ Private Sector Window Donor Committee Meeting December 35, 2019 Washington, DC

GAFSP Steering Committee Chair Mr. Heiko Warnken Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)many

> GAFSP Private Sector Window Donor Committee Chair Ms. Iris Krebber

### WELCOME, INTRODUCTION OF NEW PARTICIPANTS, AND ADOPTION OF AGENDA

- 1. Ajoint meeting of the Global Agriculture and Food Security Program (GAFSP) Steering Committee (SC) and the GAFSP Private Sector Windownor Committee (DG) as convened in Washington, DC, on December 35, 2019 A list of meeting participants is provided in Annex He draft agenda circulated in advance of the meeting was reviewed and bpted and is provided in Annex 2.
- 2. The cochairs welomed new participants to the SC meetingepresentatives from Austria and Norway attended as observences residued sessions. The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Chair and Deputy Chair attended the SC meeting for \$242. A representative of the joint venture formed

amounts projected to be received coming monthsThe Trustee clarified that the indicative net funding availability forSC decisions oproject allocations at this meeting was US \$115.0 million, factoring in SE administrative feefsuture impact evaluations, and conservative amount for contingenciesThe CU indicated that the SE administrative ifeeessociation with grants made under the Special Cathay be raised given the likelihood of smaller project sizes, and the increased costs of working in contexts of fragility, conflict, and violence (FBs)ed on the ongoing signing process with Germany, a further Euro 10 million was expected to be paidtine Trusteeduring the weekfollowing the SC meeting nd was not reflected in the total amount shareThe Trustee clarified that in order to maintain prudent financial management principles, allocations are made only based on funds available (cash and promissory notes) in the trust fund.

14. The Trustee clarified thathe WB has developed an approactor Sustainable and Responsible Investment (SRt)hat will be applied across all its investment portfolios. This approach to SRI will be through the integration of environmental, sociated governance factors financial and investment analysisin a pragmatic, yet holistic manner improve the outcomes for the investment portfolio.

# Decisions:

• With respect tothe previously approved MMI allocation of US \$16 millisent aside by the Trustee the Steering Committee approved the release of the uncommitted balance of US \$1.6 million back to the GAFSP Trust Fundorder that this amount would be available for to development assistance, and that lessons gathered from the implementation of this Spelicial Ca will enable meaningful learning on what works and what does not in interventions of this nature.

- 18. Among the challenges and recommendations highlighted were those related to the interpretation and addressing of FCV in the proposalis, itations in the current scoring grid, gauging the capacity to implement in challenging settings, addressing risk in **high** situations, prospects for sustainability in unstable situations, and stakeholder engagement including with the private setting compressed timeline for TAC review at present, 30 working dayswas also noted as a constraint.
- 19. The TAC responded to a broad range of clarification questions from the SC in terms of the nature and quality of proposals, including how the TAC andivate the recommended financing amounts for

•

TABLE 1						
Country	Region	Supervising Entity	Requested	Awarded	Previously Received GAFSP Funds	
Afghanistan	South Asia	ADB/FAO	US \$20m	US \$ 15m	Yes	
Burundi*	Africa	WB/FAO	US\$20m	US\$ 15m	Yes	
CAR	Africa	AfDB/FAO	US\$18m	US \$ 15.4m	No	
DRC	Africa	WB/FAO	US\$25.77m	US\$ 15m	No	
Gambia The*	Africa	AfDB/WFP	US\$21.65m	U\$J\$5\$n	1 1	

Madagascar, Rwanda, Nicaragua and Niged how this approach currently works in practice and also some of the related challenges. An overview was provided of the next steps for GAFSP in terms of reviewing proposals, sequencing countries for IFC en

An informalCoordination note will cover coordination between theirFancial Intermediary Fundand the Private Sector WindowSC members should anticipate outreach and consultabletween January and May of 2020s thedrafting and consultation procestakes placeIn June 2020a final restructuring package will be submitted to SC for approval, after which restructured GAFSP 2.0 will become effective once all donors have countersigned the Amended Contribution Agreement.

32. Discussioncentered on the selection processeand representation on the GAFSP SC by key constituency groups including donors, regional representatives and civil society zartigansi.

regional representatives were asked to provide an update on their selection and terms of appointment. Donors shared the outcome of a recent decision to update their representation model to reflect six single donor seats, occupied by the donors with largest cumulative outon, and two constituency seats, shared by the remaining donors. This new model would be put into effect once a new donor contributes to the Program.

33. With respect toco-financing, the CU gave a process updated walked members throughroposed definitions of key terms and related monitoring methodology, seek 30 gagreemento: (i) adopt the proposed co-financing/leverage definitions for both GAFSP 1.0 and GAFSP; 210 adopt the methodology for tracking connacing GAFSP project and (iii) adopt the proposed policy on co financing/leverage targets.

While divergent views were expressed as to whether introduction **difrancing** targets or domestic resource mobilization in grant-financed projects would work against broader development objectives (e.g., in limiting access to financing to better off countries able to nzebidio-financing or skewing targeting of project locations) or conversely

### GAFSP REPLENISHMENT

- 34. The CUpresented an overview ofkey resource mobilization upcoming goal for 2020. A Future Advocact presentativeup outreach to existing and potential new donors, media cov the SDG2Daysin June 2020 which will includeGAFSP rep Advocacyalso provided recommendations to SC participa GAFSP RM efforts.
- 35. The Chair provided details on the upcom BDG2Days to be focusing on the narrative, objectives, ask, and format of t strong allianceamongst all stakeholders push the agen significant change. The objective of the SDD200/s is to give achieving the SDG5 with SDG2 in particular focusermany raise US \$1.5 billion between 2020/2025, however the SC agreed to for the specific & Juneeventto US \$750 million.

n2019, in addition to SC o2019advocacy eff the way forward lea tas a central partFut ons they can take to

Berlinon June 510, 20 le stressed the import t the course mentum tow enishment cific pledgi

#### 36. The SC

-Daysevent and the central role thathe

GAFSP replenishment will plageveraGAFSP donosstated their intention to accompany

List of Meeting Participants (alphabetical order, by stakeholder group)

	Last Name	First Name	Organization				
	Chairs						
1	Warnken	Heiko	SC Chair, Germany				
2	Krebber	Iris	DC Chair, United Kingdom				
	Donors						
3	Bahalim	Ammad	BMGF				
4	Boehm	Nora	Germany				
5	Chow	Jennifer	USAID				
6	Criado	Lucia	Spain				
7	Johnson	Karen	DfID				
8	Lammers	Mariska	Netherlands				
9	Lynn	Fiona	Australia				
10	Pradhan	Nirupama	US Treasury				
11	Strychacz	Nicholas	US Treasury				
12	Takami	Manabu	Japan				
13	Turner	Emile	Canada				
14	van de Logt	Paul	Netherlands				
15	VanGaal	Corry	Canada				
16	Watkins	Neil	BMGF				
17	Zapaterro	Maria Abad	Spain				
		Regional	Representatise				
18	Abdul Ghaffar	Jeehan	MENA				
19	Adoum	Djime	Africa				
20	Gerstenmier	Adam	Africa				
21	Imashov	Chorobek	ECA				
22	Martinez	Salome	LAC				
23	Pokharel	Champak	Asia				
24	Singh	Pankaj Pratp	Asia				
	Supervising Entities						
25	Bettink	Willem	IFAD				
26	Boahen	Philip	AfDB				
27	Brown	Donal	IFAD				
28	Fregene	Martin	AfDB				
29	Gouvea	Heleno	IDB				
30	Heinemann	Edward	IFAD				
31	Johm	Ken	AfDB				

32 Lampietti Julian

	<ul> <li>Status of Public and Private Sector Window portfolios</li> <li>Findings of Public Sector Windoreview of closed projects</li> <li>Update on Category Four project action plans</li> </ul>			
11.15am 11.45am	Tea/ Coffee served outside of meeting room			
11.45am- 1:00pm	<ul> <li>3. Update from the CSOs</li> <li><u>Objective</u> -à-vis replenishment efforts and the Call for Proposals in 2019</li> <li><u>Presentations:</u> <ul> <li>CSO activities to date and future plans</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Discussion points</u> <ul> <li>GAFSP replenishment efforts and the Special 2019 Call for Proposa</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
1.00pm 1.30pm	<ul> <li>4. Update from the Trustee</li> <li><u>Objective</u> Provide an update on the financial status and funds available for allocation under the Public Sectorial status and funds available for allocation under the Public Sectorial status and funds available for allocation</li> <li><u>Presentation</u> <ul> <li>Financial Status of the Fund (Trustee)</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Documentation:</u> <ul> <li>GAFSP Trustee Financial Report</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Discussion point</u> <ul> <li>Funds available for allocation to project proposals from the 2019 Carproposals</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
1.30pm- 2.45pm	Lunch MCDining East in MCC1			
2.45pm 6.00pm (with Tea/Coffee Break)	<ul> <li>Special Call for Proposals: Results of TAC review and discussion of Proposals</li> <li><u>Objective</u> Presentation of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) re recommendations on proposals for financing</li> <li><u>Presentation</u>:         <ul> <li>TAC proposal review and recommendations (Diana McLean, Tand Helena Gomez MacPherson, TAC Deputy Chair)</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Documentation</u>:         <ul> <li>TAC Report</li> <li>Country Proposals</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

	Discussion points <ul> <li>TAC recommendations</li> <li>Indicative decisions on proposals to be financed</li> </ul>
	Wednesday, December 4, 2019 (DAY2) Venue: RoomMC 13121, 1818 H Street, Washington, DC
8.30am 9.00am	Tea/ Coffee served outside the meeting room
	<ol> <li>Discussion of Public Sector Window Proposals and Selection of Grant Awards</li> </ol>
	Objective Decide on proposals to be financed and amounts to be awarded t each selected proposal
9.00am 10.30am	Documentation: o TAC Report o Country proposals
	<u>Decision points</u> : a. Proposals to be financed b. Amount to be awarded for each proposal c. Responsible Supervising Entity for each grant
10.30am 11.00am	Tea/ Coffee served outside of meeting room
11:00am 12.30pm	<ul> <li>7. Lessons Learned from the 2019 Special Call for Proposals (CfP)</li> <li><u>Objective</u> Share lessons learned and recommendations from the most recerview process and the proposal preparation grant process</li> <li><u>Presentation</u>:         <ul> <li>Lessons and recommendations from the TAC pro(Deises McLean, TAC Chair and Helena Goenz MacPherson, TAC Deputy Chair)</li> <li>Lessons and recommendations from the Proposal Preparation Grant</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	process (FAO and WFP) <u>Documentation</u> : • TAC Feedback Report on CfP Process • FAO Assistance to Proposal Application Process Terminal Report • WFPAssistance to Proposal Preparation Report
	Discussion Points: o Lessons and recommendations on the TAC process

Joint Statement by the Regional Representatives of Africa, Asia, ECA, LAENand M

# 5 December 2019

We would like to thank the GAFSP team for organizing the Steering Committee Meeting. We welcome the constructive and earnest deliberation on the Public and Private Sector Windows and the Missing Middle Initiative.

# We take note of the sobering statistic th

on agriculture for their livelihoods. It also has been established that growth induced through agriculture is two to four times more effective at reducing poverty than other sectors. Gilviencontext, GAFSP continues to play an instrumental and catalytic role in supporting farmers; raising agricultural productivity; improving food security and nutritional intake; addressing climate change; creating jobs and empowering women. We also recogne that the Public and Private sector Windows have successfully delivered in line with their goals and continue to evolve over time to respond to the emerging needs and reflect on the lessons learned from their interventions. We welcome the decision by SBAME scale up to countries affected by fragility and conflict. Given that Small States are highly vulnerable to the adverse impact of climate change and are disproportionately impacted by exogenous global factors, more attention to supporting these counties are warranted under GAFSP. We also call for focusing more on the complementarity between the public and private sector solutions to maximize development effectiveness and realize the underpinning synergies.

We acknowledge that the GAFSP has beendaed by G7 and G20 in 2009 to respond to the Global Food Crisis. Considerable multi dono0.000Tm 0 g 9(ect)-4(19(G)11911)3(sid)16(We)-2()3012 792 re W\* x19(Global Science) and Science Crisis.